

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/532,777	04/22/2005	Samuel Ejnesman	12430	6673	
25570 7590 12/18/2007 ROBERTS, MLOTKOWSKI & HOBBES			EXAMINER		
P. O. BOX 100	P. O. BOX 10064			SAWHNEY, HARGOBIND S	
MCLEAN, VA 22102-8064			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			. 2885		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/18/2007	FI FCTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Dbeltran@rmhlaw.com LGallaugher@rmhlaw.com

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Antine Commence	10/532,777	EJNESMAN, SAMUEL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
TO MAIL INC DATE of this committee in	Hargobind S. Sawhney	2885
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	i the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH bute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u> 2a)    This action is <b>FINAL</b> .    2b)    Th  3)    Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final.  vance except for formal matte	
Disposition of Claims	·	
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12-27</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9,10,12-16,20,21,24 and 25</u> is/ar 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>8,17-19,22,23,26 and 27</u> is/are object to restriction and	rawn from consideration.  are rejected.  acted to.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the left.	ccepted or b) objected to be ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (RTO 892)	4) ☐ Interview Su	Immary (PTO-413)
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date     </li> </ol>	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date formal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/532,777 Page 2

Art Unit: 2885

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The amendment filed on October 9, 2007 has been filed. Accordingly:

- The specification has been amended;
- Claims 1, 10, 15 and 17 have been amended;
- Claim 11 has been canceled; and
- New claims 18-27 have been added.

### Claim Objections

2. Claims 1-27 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1, lines 5 and 9, "components attachable" and "means adapted to releasably fix" are not positive limitations, but only requires the ability to perform. Similar deficiencies also exist in claims 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 13, 15, 17, 18 and 19. Therefore all claims 1-27 are objected.

Appropriate correction is required.

The above-discussed limitations should be rephrased as follows:

"components attachable" should be rephrased – components attached--; and "means adapted to releasably fix " should be rephrased as – means releasably fixed--.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/532,777

Art Unit: 2885

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

4. Claims 1-7 and 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No.: 1,701,149 (Eha).

Regarding claim 1, Eha discloses a lighting system (Figures 1-4) comprising:

- A frame 13 including an open central area (Figure 1 and 4, line 59); a support means 6 for supporting a lamp 7 (Figure 4, lines 49-52); a pair of substantially semi-circular planar components 8 attachable together for the use by a pair of spaced apart hinges 15'- the combination including elements 10, 13 and 15-17- (Figure 4, lines 62-77).
- the hinges 10'- the combination including elements 10 and 15 adapted for rotation of the planar components about the main axis 4, 4 the axis joining the centers of the opposing hinges 10' (Figure); each of the hinges 10' including a fixation means 15 releasably fixing the relative position of the planar components 13 (Figure 4, lines 62-65); extensible shade means 12 (Figure 4, lines 57 and 78).

As discussed above, Eha discloses a lighting system including attachable semicircular planar components instead of V-shaped components as claimed by the applicant.

It would be have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the lighting system of Eha by providing V-shaped- a decorative feature- attachable components instead of that of semi-circular shapes- a decorative

feature-, since it has been held that a change in shape or configuration, without any criticality, is nothing more than one of numerous shapes that one of ordinary skill in the art will find obvious to provide based on the suitability for the intended final application. See *In re Dailey*, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1976). It appears that the disclosed device disclosed by Eha would perform equally well with attachable V-shaped components.

Regarding claims 2-7 and 9, Eha, modified as discussed above, discloses the lighting system (Figures 1-4) further comprising:

each of the pair of hinges 10' including hinge elements 10 and 15 (Figure 4, lines 62-65); the axel of each of the hinges 10' including threaded bolt means 15 (Figure 4, lines 62-65); each of the hinge means 10' including threaded portion receiving the bolt means 15, thus applying compressive force to the hinge means 10' (Figure 4, lines 62-65); the threaded portions of element 10 and 15 being adjacent the open central area defined by the planar components 13 (Figure 4); each of the hinges 10' including a pair of non-axial contact surfaces – the surfaces of elements 15 and 17, normal to the axis- in contact with the eye element 14 of the planar component 13 (Figure 4, lines 67-70); each of the contact surfaces of the elements 15 and 17 being between the hinge elements 17 and 10 (Figure 4, lines 67-70); and means 14 able to guide – broadly interpreted as allowing axial movement- the planar components 13 together along the main axis with unthreading the element 15 (Figure 4, lines 57-60).

Art Unit: 2885

Regarding claims 10 and 12-16, Eha, modified as discussed above, discloses the lighting system meeting the limitations in similar manner as that applied to respective claims 1-7, 9, 20 and 24 discussed above.

5. Claims 20 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No.: 1,701,149 (Eha) in view of US Patent No.: 2,080,786 (J. A. Robles).

Regarding claim 20, Eha, modified as discussed above, discloses the lighting system comprising V-shaped attachable components as applied to claim 1 above.

However, Eha, modified as discussed above, does not teach the narrow end of each of the V-shaped including a detachable spherical element.

On the other hand, J. A. Robles discloses a collapsible cover 2 including: a pair of ends 14 and 15 with hinges; and a detachable spherical element 40 mounted at the extreme end away from the hinge ends 14 and 15.

It would be have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the lighting system of Eha by providing the spherical element detachably mounted at the end other than those with hinges as taught by J. A. Robles for the benefits of facilitating movements of the planar V-shaped components.

Regarding claim 24, Eha, modified as discussed above, in view of J. A. Robles discloses the lighting system configured as a pedestal lamp or wall lamp. However, neither in combination nor individually Eha and J. A. Robbles discloses a swage lamp, table lamp or a plural lamp.

Art Unit: 2885

It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claim apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitation.

Regarding claims 21 and 25, Eha, modified as discussed above, discloses the lighting system meeting the limitations in similar manner as that applied to respective claims 20 and 24 discussed above.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 8 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objections discussed in section 2 above, and rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art of record, including Eha, does not show or suggest the applicant's invention as claimed. Specifically, the prior art of record does not disclose a lighting system combining:

A pair of hinges each including conical surfaces adapted to be fit one in other, and contacting hinge elements as recited in each of claims 8 and 17.

The above-indicated combination, including a pair of telescopic conical contact surfaces, usable for a lamp hinge, appears unique.

7. Claims 18, 19, 22, 23, 26 and 27 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objections set forth in section 2 of this Office action.

Art Unit: 2885

The prior art of record, including Eha, does not show or suggest the applicant's invention as claimed. Specifically, the prior art of record does not disclose a lighting system combining:

 A pair of hinges each including conical surfaces adapted to be fit one in other, and contacting hinge elements as recited in each of the newly added independent claims 18 and 19.

The above-indicated combination, including a pair of telescopic conical contact surfaces, usable for a lamp hinge, appears unique.

Claims 22 and 26 would necessarily be allowed because of their dependency on the allowable claim 18.

Claims 23 and 27 would necessarily be allowed because of their dependency on the allowable claim 19.

### Response to Amendment

8. Applicant's arguments filed on October 9, 2007 with respect to the 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejections of claims 1-7 and 9-16 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejections necessitated by the amendment.

#### Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,777 Page 8

Art Unit: 2885

Stewart (US Patent No.: 6,431,393 B1) and Schoepe (US Patent No.: 4,422,441).

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hargobind S. Sawhney whose telephone number is 571 272 2380. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Jong-Suk (James) Lee can be reached on 571 272 7044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HSS

12/10/2007 Examiner: /Hargobind S. Sawhney/

Art Unit: 2885